Application No. 10/561,106 Amendment Dated June 7, 2007 Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007 Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

AMENDMENTS TO CLAIMS

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

LISTING OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-25 (cancelled)

Claim 26 (Previously Presented): A structure comprising of at least first, second and third identical boards having laterally mounted locking elements, wherein the locking elements are made in such a way that, simultaneously, by displacing the first board relative to the second board along a first common joint,

the first board can be connected with the second board in a positive fit along the first common connecting joint, both in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface of the board, as well as in a parallel direction relative to the surface of the board, and, at the same time, in a perpendicular direction relative to the first common joint, and

the first board can be connected with the third board in a positive fit along a second common connecting joint, at least in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface of the board.

Claim 27 (Previously Presented): The structure according to claim 26, wherein all or some of the locking elements are made in such a way that the displacement can take place exclusively in one plane that is parallel relative to the surface of the boards.

Claim 28 (Previously Presented): The structure according to claim 27, wherein the first common connecting joint runs in a perpendicular direction relative to the second common connecting joint.

Claim 29 (Previously Presented): Boards having laterally mounted locking elements with which two boards can be connected with each other laterally in an adhesive-free manner by positive fit, wherein the locking elements are made in such a manner, that there is an initial position into which the boards can exclusively be brought by lowering in a vertical direction, wherein a common joint is formed between the boards in which a play occurs, and there is a final position in which the boards are interlocked by positive fit in a

Application No. 10/561,106

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

vertical direction and in which no play occurs at the common joint and wherein the panels

may be connected with each other in an adhesive-free manner.

Claim 30 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 29, wherein the

boards may be brought from the initial position into the final position by displacement along

the common joint.

Claim 31 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 30, wherein the

locking elements are such that the boards can be brought into the initial position when, along

the common connecting joint, they are arranged offset relative to one another by more than

50% and less than 100%.

Claim 32 (Currently Amended): Boards according to claim 31, wherein

there is an intermediate position in which the boards, at least in a vertical direction, are

interlocked by positive fit and in which a play occurs at the common joint of the two boards.

Claim 33 (Currently Amended): Boards according to claim 32, wherein a

board, as a locking element, has a perpendicular groove that is inserted in a perpendicular

direction relative to the surface, and the other board has at least a corresponding protruding

perpendicular locking element which arrives in the perpendicular groove when the boards are

in the initial position, wherein the perpendicular groove and/or a lateral boundary of the

perpendicular groove, at least in part, have a course that does not run parallel relative to the

common joint, and/or the perpendicular locking element and/or a lateral boundary of the

perpendicular locking element at least in part have such a course that does not run parallel

relative to the common joint.

Claim 34 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 33, wherein, in

the final position, a lateral boundary of the perpendicular grooves adjoins a lateral boundary

of the perpendicular locking element intimately.

Claim 35 (Currently Amended): Boards according to claim 34, wherein at

least one lateral boundary of the perpendicular groove and/or a lateral boundary of the

Page 11 of 18

J75640.DOC

Application No. 10/561,106

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

perpendicular locking element is formed wedge-shaped, in particular has such a course

relative to the common joint that the distance to the common joint decreases or increases

along the joint in a linear manner.

Claim 36 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, wherein at

least one lateral wall of a groove that is provided as a locking element runs in an arched,

wave-like, serpentine or sawtooth-like manner.

Claim 37 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, wherein there

is at least one contact area between two locking elements which area runs in a perpendicular

direction relative to the surface.

Claim 38 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, wherein there

is at least one contact area between two locking elements formed by undercuts.

Claim 39 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, wherein one

board laterally has, as a locking element, at least one groove and another board laterally has

at least one tongue.

Claim 40 (Currently Amended): Boards according to claim 35, wherein the

bottom surface of [the] a lateral tongue forms a flat surface with the bottom side of [the] a

vertical locking element.

Claim 41 (Currently Amended): Boards according to claim 35, wherein [the]

<u>a</u> bottom groove-cheek of [the] <u>a</u> lateral groove forms a flat surface with the bottom of the

groove of the perpendicular groove.

Claim 42 (Currently Amended): Boards, according to claim 35, that can be

connected in an adhesive-free manner by means of a paste or, in particular, adhesive sealing

compound and/or an adhesive between two interlocked boards.

Page 12 of 18

J75640.DOC

Application No. 10/561,106 Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

Claim 43 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, having a

moisture repellant paste or adhesive mass between two boards which adjoins the surface of

the boards.

Claim 44 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, wherein the

boards are laminate panels.

Claim 45 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 35, which are

part of a floor covering.

Claim 46 (Previously Presented): A method for connecting at least first,

second and third boards with laterally mounted locking elements, in particular of boards

comprising the steps of:

displacing the first board relative to the second board along a first common

connecting joint, wherein simultaneously

the first board being connected with the second board in a positive fit along

the first common connecting joint, both in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface of

the board, and in a parallel direction relative to the surface of the board, and, at the same

time, in a perpendicular direction relative to the first common connecting joint, and

the first board being connected with the third board in a positive fit along a

second common connecting joint, at least in a perpendicular direction relative to the surface

of the board.

Claim 47 (Previously Presented): The method according to claim 46, wherein

the displacement occurs exclusively in one plane parallel relative to the surface of the board.

Claim 48 (Previously Presented): The method according to claim 47, wherein

the first connecting joint runs in a perpendicular direction relative to the second common

connecting joint.

Claim 49 (Previously Presented): A method for connecting at least first and

second boards with laterally mounted locking elements, wherein two of the boards being

Page 13 of 18

Application No. 10/561,106

Amendment Dated June 7, 2007

Reply to Office Action dated April 30 2007

Attorney Docket No. 1469-053129

connected laterally by positive fit in an adhesive-free manner, comprising the following

steps:

bringing the boards into an initial position, in particular, exclusively by

lowering in a vertical direction, wherein a common joint is formed between the boards in

which a play occurs, and

bringing the boards into a final position, in which the boards are interlocked in

a vertical direction by positive fit, and in which no play occurs at the common joint and the

panels are connected in an adhesive-free manner.

Claim 50 (Previously Presented): The method according to claim 49, wherein

the boards are brought from the initial position into the final position by displacement along

the common connecting joint.

Claim 51 (Previously Presented): Boards according to claim 30, wherein the

locking elements are such that the boards can be brought into the initial position when, along

the common connecting joint, they are arranged offset relative to one another by more than

66% and less than 80%.

Page 14 of 18

J75640.DOC